

WHAT YOUR SITE IS ACTUALLY DOING.

One page: what is working, what is costing you visitors, and what to fix next. A phone-and-desktop teardown of the live site: 4 phone loads + 2 desktop loads, cross-checked against real Chrome users from Google's field data. No login, no insider access, no Harvv pixel needed. The full evidence is at the bottom.

August 24, 2026 · External scan + real-user field data · 4 MOBILE + 2 DESKTOP LOADS + GOOGLE CRUX

WORKING

What's already working. These held up across every load.

1.4s Speed is good.

0.00 Layout stays put as it loads.

100/100 Lighthouse scores SEO 100/100. The fundamentals Google looks for are present.

COSTING YOU

Ranked by what hurts conversion most. Full evidence below.

cvr A floating widget is covering a clickable element

3 3 form fields have no label

tags Meta Pixel (Facebook) is installed but misconfigured

41% Add quotations so AI engines cite this page



Nothing spilled past the edge at either 390px (phone) or 1366px (desktop), so the structure is responsive.

4

Google Analytics tracking broken

faint

Some text is low-contrast and hard to read

FIXING NEXT

OBSCURED CONTROL AND PAGE WEIGHT.

3

HOURS

2 small changes, on the pages people actually land on: A floating widget is covering a clickable element; Page is heavy and slow on mobile data. We re-measure the same samples after.

AI SEARCH VISIBILITY

How visible is redo.com to AI search?

ChatGPT, Perplexity, and Google AI Overviews are the new front page. The AI checks in this report are a preview. A free account turns them into a live 0 to 100 score, tracked daily and benchmarked against your competitors.

[See the full AI report, free](#)

01

Findings, ranked by what hurts conversion most

SEVERITY	FINDING	HOW WE KNOW
HIGH	A floating widget is covering a clickable element BOTH CONVERSION ACCESSIBILITY (WCAG) 1 ELEMENT A clickable element is sitting underneath a fixed overlay (div.navbar-elements-container over a "Order Editing"), so a visitor who tries to tap it hits the widget on top instead. The element is there and looks fine in a preview, it just can't be reached. Move the floating widget to a clear corner, lower its z-index, or add spacing so it never overlaps your links and buttons. THE EXACT ELEMENTS WE FOUND: a "Order Editing" under div.navbar-elements-container	
MEDIUM	1 uncaught JavaScript error on load BOTH CONVERSION TRACKING Errors in the console often mean a feature silently broke: a button that does nothing, analytics that did not fire, a form that will not submit. Lighthouse recorded 1 uncaught exception (browser console entries caused by failed network requests are excluded). First: TypeError: Failed to fetch dynamically imported module: https://redo.com/search/assets/category-embed-page-BkaOjgev.js	
MEDIUM	Page is heavy and slow on mobile data BOTH PERFORMANCE Each visit downloads about 5.5 megabytes, roughly 3219 KB of images and 1631 KB of JavaScript across 215 separate downloads. On a fast connection that's fine. On a phone with patchy mobile data, that's several seconds of blank screen before the page is readable. How we know: median across loads	

LOW

Images have no width or height set (layout is stable for now)

BOTH

PERFORMANCE

SEO

6 IMAGES

97 of 98 images don't declare width and height. Your layout currently stays stable (measured Cumulative Layout Shift is 0.00, which is fine), most likely because space is reserved another way (CSS), so this is not causing visible jumps today. It is still worth setting explicit dimensions or a CSS aspect-ratio so a slow connection or a future style change cannot reintroduce shift.

THE EXACT IMAGES WE FOUND:

- [6986295bbb2428b6cd245e54_Email%20and%20SMS%20Icon.svg](#)
- [6a626a92674a2f530eb1eb84_698502feb61e7c933fc8b250_Recover%20Icon.svg](#)
- [6986292c0ea37da954827583_Agentic%20Catalog%20Icon.svg](#)
- [69862918ed0403ea36ab252f_AI%20Sales%20Support%20Icon.svg](#)
- [698629457d6d088e0ec38341_Checkout%20optimization%20Icon.svg](#)
- [69862a29e1773c651c86a382_Order%20Editing%20Icon.svg](#)

LOW

Unused JavaScript is being downloaded

BOTH

PERFORMANCE

Code that never runs on this page still costs download and parse time on every visit. Splitting or removing it speeds up load. Lighthouse measured: Est savings of 841 KiB.

LOW

Unused CSS is being downloaded

BOTH

PERFORMANCE

Style rules that this page never uses still block rendering while they download. Trimming them frees the paint path. Lighthouse measured: Est savings of 46 KiB.

LOW

The page loads from a lot of third-party services

BOTH

Around 43 separate outside domains load on this page (analytics, ads, chat widgets, fonts). Each one is a connection that can be slow, fail, or change behavior outside your control.

How we know: median across loads

HIGH

3 form fields have no label

BOTH

ACCESSIBILITY (WCAG)

CONVERSION

TRACKING

3 ELEMENTS

Screen readers can't announce these fields, and a sighted user who clears the placeholder can't recover the prompt. Wrap each input in `<label>...</label>` or add `aria-label`.

THE EXACT ELEMENTS WE FOUND:

- `(input) placeholder="yourbrand.com"`
- `(input) placeholder="yourbrand.com"`
- `name="rs-input" (input) placeholder="Enter your ecommerce site url"`

HIGH

Meta Pixel (Facebook) is installed but misconfigured

BOTH

TRACKING

Meta Pixel installed but no Lead/Purchase/Checkout event fires on this page, only PageView. Your Meta ad sessions can't be optimized for conversions until you track at least one downstream event.

HIGH

Add quotations so AI engines cite this page

BOTH

AI SEARCH

SEO

Generative engines (ChatGPT, Perplexity, AI Overviews) lift sourced, attributed quotes almost verbatim, and quotations are the single strongest citation lever (studies measure roughly +41%). Add 1-2 attributed expert quotes or blockquotes to the pages below. (Found across a sample of 8 pages from your sitemap, a partial crawl rather than your full site.)

HIGH

Google Analytics tracking broken

BOTH

The Google Analytics request failed to complete on every one of the 4 test loads. If real visitors hit the same failure, GA is missing those visits and the dashboard has no way to flag it. Conversion numbers, audience counts, and channel attribution are all undercounting. Worth checking the tag loading order and any consent banner that might be blocking the request.

MEDIUM

15 potential dead-click targets

BOTH

CONVERSION

ACCESSIBILITY (WCAG)

TRACKING

5 ELEMENTS

Elements styled like buttons but with no anchor, no `<button>` wrapper, no `role="button"`, and no click attribute. Real visitors tap these expecting something to happen, then leave. Examples on this page: "Returns & Claims" (`div.rp-product-btn`), "AI Sales & Support" (`div.rp-product-btn`), "Conversion Optimization" (`div.rp-product-btn`).

THE EXACT ELEMENTS WE FOUND:

- "Returns & Claims" (`div.rp-product-btn`)
- "AI Sales & Support" (`div.rp-product-btn`)
- "Conversion Optimization" (`div.rp-product-btn`)
- "Email & SMS" (`div.rp-product-btn`)
- "Order Editing" (`div.rp-product-btn`)

LOW

No canonical tag, so duplicate URLs split the page's ranking

BOTH

When the same content is reachable at multiple URLs (think tracking parameters or session IDs), Google can split your ranking signal across them. A single canonical tag tells Google which version counts.

LOW

The page has 7 top-level headings

BOTH

Multiple `<h1>` tags blur which heading is the main one. Keep one H1 and demote the rest to H2 so the page's structure is clear.

HIGH

Some text is low-contrast and hard to read

BOTH

ACCESSIBILITY (WCAG)

Text that does not stand out enough from its background is hard to read for many visitors, and fails accessibility guidelines Google checks.

MEDIUM

Security headers are missing or weak

BOTH

SECURITY

The server response is missing browser-hardening headers that protect visitors and are a standard security and agency checklist item. Missing or weak here: Content-Security-Policy (the main defense against injected and cross-site scripts); clickjacking protection (X-Frame-Options or a CSP frame-ancestors rule); a stronger HSTS policy (max-age at least 180 days plus includeSubDomains); X-Content-Type-Options: nosniff (stops MIME-type sniffing attacks). These are set at the server, CDN, or host level (most platforms expose them in settings or a config file) and do not change how the site looks or performs.

MEDIUM

17 interactive elements have no stable, accessible identity

BOTH

ACCESSIBILITY (WCAG)

TRACKING

8 ELEMENTS

These elements are clicked like buttons but expose no accessible name, or are a plain div/span used as a control with no role. Assistive tech announces only a role (or nothing), and analytics and heatmaps have no human-readable label or stable selector to bind the click to, so the click is both inaccessible and untrackable, and any redesign silently breaks click aggregation. Give each one a real <button>/<a>, an aria-label, and a stable id or data-attribute.

THE EXACT ELEMENTS WE FOUND:

- (div.rs-btn-arrow) (no accessible name)
- "Returns & Claims" (div.rp-product-btn) (div/span used as a button, no role)
- "AI Sales & Support" (div.rp-product-btn) (div/span used as a button, no role)
- "Conversion Optimization" (div.rp-product-btn) (div/span used as a button, no role)
- "Email & SMS" (div.rp-product-btn) (div/span used as a button, no role)
- "Order Editing" (div.rp-product-btn) (div/span used as a button, no role)
- "Recover" (div.rp-product-btn) (div/span used as a button, no role)
- "Shipping & Fulfillment" (div.rp-product-btn) (div/span used as a button, no role)

Accessibility findings are automated checks against Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) 2.1 and 2.2. They flag potential barriers and legal risk, not a certification or a determination of compliance with the ADA, Section 508, or EN 301 549. Automated testing catches only a subset of issues; a full conformance review needs manual and assistive-technology testing by a qualified reviewer.

FROM FINDING TO FIX

Want the fix, not just the finding?

Install Harvv and we turn each issue above into a ready-to-paste prompt for your AI coding assistant. Drop it into Cursor, Claude, or Copilot and the diagnosis becomes a concrete code change, written against this exact page.

Get the fix prompts

"How we know": **unlabeled** = a deterministic fact, identical on every load (e.g. element sizes). Most findings are this kind, so we only mark the exceptions: **median across loads** = a noisy lab metric, reported as a median. **real-user field data** = Google CrUX, actual Chrome visitors.

Structural and AI-search checks crawl up to 8 pages from your sitemap (a sample, not your full site). "Broken" means a link returned 404, 410, or 5xx, or did not respond; access-controlled pages (401, 403) are not counted.

02

Performance: phone, desktop, and real visitors

METRIC	MOBILE	DESKTOP	READ
TTFB (lab median)	54 ms	55 ms	LAB
FCP (lab median)	188 ms	224 ms	LAB
LCP (lab median)	264 ms	246 ms	GOOD
Page weight (median)	5.5 MB	5.6 MB	WATCH
Real LCP (p75, url)		1.4 s	GOOD
Real INP (p75)		184 ms	GOOD
Real CLS (p75)		0.00	GOOD

Google Lighthouse (lab): Performance **54** mobile / **66** desktop, SEO **100**, Accessibility **93**, Best Practices **77**.

Lab numbers are from a headless mobile browser on an unthrottled connection: treat them as a floor, not a typical experience.

03

Tiny buttons are hard to tap on mobile

1 of 36 tappable items on this page come in below 44×44 pixels, the size Apple and Google both recommend for reliable tapping on a phone. The same ones came up small on every one of the 4 test loads, so this is the page itself, not a fluke.

When customers can't tap what they expect to, they get frustrated and many of them leave. They don't file a bug. They don't try again. They just leave. A desktop dashboard can't see this because it's the difference between a thumb and a cursor.

The buttons measuring below the minimum on this scan:

- a 105x22 "Manage Cookies"

The fix is CSS-only on most sites: add padding around the icon (don't just change the icon size) so the actual tap area is at least 44×44 pixels. No redesign, no new assets.

04

Technical SEO & structured data

CHECK	RESULT
Title	Redo - Elevate your shopper experience (38 chars)
Meta description	147 chars
H1	7 on page
Canonical	MISSING
Structured data (JSON-LD)	SoftwareApplication, BreadcrumbList
Open Graph	TITLE + IMAGE

05

The fix checklist

Everything to fix, priority first, each tagged with the screen it affects and a rough effort. Work top to bottom.

☐ A floating widget is covering a clickable element BOTH CSS ONLY

☐ 1 uncaught JavaScript error on load BOTH DEV AFTERNOON

☐ Page is heavy and slow on mobile data BOTH SMALL

☐ Images have no width or height set (layout is stable for now) BOTH CSS ONLY

☐ Unused JavaScript is being downloaded BOTH VARIES

☐ Unused CSS is being downloaded BOTH VARIES

☐ The page loads from a lot of third-party services BOTH DEV AFTERNOON

☐ 3 form fields have no label BOTH VARIES

☐ Meta Pixel (Facebook) is installed but misconfigured BOTH VARIES

☐ Add quotations so AI engines cite this page BOTH VARIES

☐ Google Analytics tracking broken BOTH DEV AFTERNOON

☐ 15 potential dead-click targets BOTH CSS ONLY

☐ No canonical tag, so duplicate URLs split the page's ranking BOTH 1 LINE

☐ The page has 7 top-level headings BOTH VARIES

☐ **Some text is low-contrast and hard to read** BOTH VARIABLES

☐ **Security headers are missing or weak** BOTH VARIABLES

☐ **17 interactive elements have no stable, accessible identity** BOTH DEV AFTERNOON

Effort is a rough read from the outside: "CSS only" means no new assets or backend work, "1 line" means a single tag, "Dev afternoon" means a developer needs to touch tracking or scripts.

06

What this report cannot tell you

Everything above is from the outside, looking at the page on a simulated phone and desktop. The questions that actually decide revenue need real visitors. Install the Harvv pixel (one script tag, 16 KB, zero personal data, no engineering project) and within about 72 hours you'd know which buttons real customers tapped and missed, how often Google Analytics is missing visits, and exactly where mobile shoppers stalled and left. This report shows you where to look. The pixel shows you how often it happens, and to whom.

07

How we did this, and what it can't prove

- **4 mobile + 2 desktop loads** of one URL from headless Chrome (iPhone viewport at 390px, desktop at 1366px), August 24, 2026. Enough loads to separate real defects from random noise, not a full-site crawl.
- **Lab numbers, not real-user numbers**, except the CrUX rows, which are real Chrome users. Real devices on real networks run slower.
- **Friction is inferred, not counted**. We can prove a button is small. We can't, from the outside, count how often it causes a missed tap. That requires the pixel on a live page.
- **This page rotates its content** load to load, which is on its own a reason a single-shot scan can't be the last word on it.

About Harvv, the source of this teardown

Harvv is a behavioral UX analytics platform (harvv.com). A lightweight JavaScript pixel captures how real visitors behave on a site (dead clicks, rage clicks, scroll depth, Core Web Vitals, JavaScript errors, and 50+ other signals) and the engine turns them into prioritized, plain-English findings. This teardown is the outside-in version of that: the same detectors run against a public page, with no pixel installed.

How to read it. Every finding here is a reproducible, automated measurement, not an opinion: element sizes, contrast ratios, load metrics, and structured-data checks that anyone can re-run against the same URL. The method is stated in full above. Automated testing catches a subset of issues, so this is a starting point, not a certification.

Full disclosure. Harvv makes the pixel that would measure the friction these findings imply, so we have a commercial interest. That is exactly why the findings are kept to things a reader can verify independently, and why nothing here is inflated: an unreproducible claim would undermine the tool it is meant to demonstrate.

Prepared by Harvv (harvv.com), a behavioral UX analytics platform. Last updated August 24, 2026.