

● SITE TEARDOWN · SEOLOUPE

Seouloupe: a phone-and-desktop teardown

We loaded <https://www.seouloupe.com/> 4 times on a simulated iPhone and 2 more on a 1366px desktop, and wrote down what a real visitor would see on each, then cross-checked it against real Chrome users from Google's field data. No login, no insider access, no Harvv pixel needed. Here is what repeated visits already show, sorted by how we know it.

August 10, 2026 · External scan + real-user field data ·

4 MOBILE + 2 DESKTOP LOADS + GOOGLE CRUX

TL;DR

What jumped out

Of everything we found on this scan, this is the one to start with: **Google Analytics tracking broken**. The Google Analytics request failed to complete on every one of the 4 test loads. If real visitors hit the same failure, GA is missing those visits and the dashboard has no way to flag it. Conversion numbers, audience counts, and channel attribution are all undercounting. Worth checking the tag loading order and any consent banner that might be blocking the request.

31%

TAP TARGETS UNDER THE
44PX MINIMUM (22 OF 70)

158ms

LARGEST CONTENTFUL PAINT
(LAB MEDIAN)

0.6 MB

TOTAL PAGE WEIGHT (MEDIAN)

Below: what's already working, every finding ranked by impact and tagged with the screen it affects, the speed numbers on phone and desktop, and a checklist of what to fix first.

AI SEARCH VISIBILITY

How visible is seouloupe.com to AI search?

ChatGPT, Perplexity, and Google AI Overviews are the new front page. The AI checks in this report are a preview. A free account turns them into a live 0 to 100 score, tracked daily and benchmarked against your competitors.

[See the full AI report, free](#)

00

What's already working

Start here so the problems below are in context. These held up across the test loads:

- **Speed is good.** Real Chrome visitors see the main content in 1.2s (Google's "good" bar is 2.5s). This is field data from actual users, not a lab guess.
- **Light page weight.** The page is about 0.6 MB across 26 requests. That keeps it quick on mobile data and cheap to load repeatedly.
- **Layout stays put as it loads.** Real visitors see only 0.00 of layout shift (good is under 0.10), so the page is not jumping under their finger.
- **No JavaScript errors on load.** Nothing threw a script error across the test loads, so buttons and tracking are not silently breaking mid-session.
- **Search basics are in place.** Lighthouse scores SEO 100/100. The fundamentals Google looks for are present.
- **Layout holds on phone and desktop.** Nothing spilled past the edge at either 390px (phone) or 1366px (desktop), so the structure is responsive.

Findings, ranked by what hurts conversion most

SEVERITY	FINDING	HOW WE KNOW
HIGH	Google Analytics tracking broken BOTH The Google Analytics request failed to complete on every one of the 4 test loads. If real visitors hit the same failure, GA is missing those visits and the dashboard has no way to flag it. Conversion numbers, audience counts, and channel attribution are all undercounting. Worth checking the tag loading order and any consent banner that might be blocking the request.	
HIGH	3 form fields have no label BOTH ACCESSIBILITY (WCAG) CONVERSION TRACKING 3 ELEMENTS Screen readers can't announce these fields, and a sighted user who clears the placeholder can't recover the prompt. Wrap each input in <label>...</label> or add aria-label. ----- THE EXACT ELEMENTS WE FOUND: • (input) placeholder="website.com (Optional)" • (input) placeholder="website.com (Optional)" • (input) placeholder="website.com (Optional)"	
HIGH	Some text is low-contrast and hard to read BOTH ACCESSIBILITY (WCAG) Text that does not stand out enough from its background is hard to read for many visitors, and fails accessibility guidelines Google checks.	
HIGH	Add quotations so AI engines cite this page BOTH AI SEARCH SEO Generative engines (ChatGPT, Perplexity, AI Overviews) lift sourced, attributed quotes almost verbatim, and quotations are the single strongest citation lever (studies measure roughly +41%). Add 1-2 attributed expert quotes or blockquotes to the pages below. (Found across a sample of 8 pages from your sitemap, a partial crawl rather than your full site.)	

SEVERITY	FINDING	HOW WE KNOW
MEDIUM	Tiny buttons are hard to tap on mobile MOBILE ACCESSIBILITY (WCAG) CONVERSION 8 ELEMENTS 22 of 70 tappable items on this page (31%) measure under 24 pixels on their shorter side, below the WCAG minimum, and well under the 44 pixels Apple and Google recommend for reliable tapping, and the same ones came up small on every test load. When visitors can't hit what they expect to, they get frustrated and many of them leave instead of trying again. ----- THE EXACT ELEMENTS WE FOUND: • a 130x17 "SeoLoupe Logo" • a 330x17 "Buy Once" • a 330x17 "Upgrade" • a 330x17 "Upgrade" • a 150x17 "SeoLoupe Logo" • a 105x17 "Features" • a 105x17 "How it Works" • a 105x17 "Pricing"	
MEDIUM	Click activity may be invisible inside the Facebook in-app browser BOTH TRACKING Patterns on this page (15 inline onclick handlers) tend to suppress click events inside Android Webview and iOS in-app browsers. Visitors arriving from Meta ads may register as zero-interaction sessions even when they're actively using the page. Add a server-side landing tracker (or the Harvv pixel) so you don't lose that audience entirely.	

SEVERITY	FINDING	HOW WE KNOW
MEDIUM	15 interactive elements have no stable, accessible identity ACCESSIBILITY (WCAG) TRACKING 8 ELEMENTS These elements are clicked like buttons but expose no accessible name, or are a plain div/span used as a control with no role. Assistive tech announces only a role (or nothing), and analytics and heatmaps have no human-readable label or stable selector to bind the click to, so the click is both inaccessible and untrackable, and any redesign silently breaks click aggregation. Give each one a real <button>/<a>, an aria-label, and a stable id or data-attribute. THE EXACT ELEMENTS WE FOUND: <u>(div) (no accessible name)</u> <u>(div) (no accessible name)</u> <u>"What does this tool do?" (div) (div/span used as a button, no role)</u> <u>"What is GEO and AEO?" (div) (div/span used as a button, no role)</u> <u>"Who is this tool for?" (div) (div/span used as a button, no role)</u> <u>"Do I need SEO experience to use it?" (div) (div/span used as a button, no role)</u> <u>"How does the SEO scan work?" (div) (div/span used as a button, no role)</u> <u>"What makes this different from other SEO" (div) (div/span used as a button, no role)</u>	BOTH
LOW	Images have no width or height set (layout is stable for now) PERFORMANCE SEO 6 IMAGES 48 of 49 images don't declare width and height. Your layout currently stays stable (measured Cumulative Layout Shift is 0.00, which is fine), most likely because space is reserved another way (CSS), so this is not causing visible jumps today. It is still worth setting explicit dimensions or a CSS aspect-ratio so a slow connection or a future style change cannot reintroduce shift. THE EXACT IMAGES WE FOUND: <u>seouloupe_logo-removebg-preview.svg "SeoLoupe Logo"</u> <u>icon6.png "Google Logo"</u> <u>icon2.png "ChatGPT logo"</u> <u>icon1.png "Claude logo"</u> <u>google-gemini-icon.e6fb2cb2e04a07cea631da18183c40.svg "Gemini logo"</u> <u>grok-logo.png "Grok logo"</u>	BOTH

SEVERITY	FINDING	HOW WE KNOW
LOW	No advertising pixel detected BOTH TRACKING Analytics is present but no Meta/Google/TikTok ad pixel was found. If you run paid ads, conversion tracking should be installed before the next campaign so the platforms can optimize toward buyers, not clicks.	
LOW	No email capture or newsletter detected BOTH CONVERSION No email-marketing tag (Klaviyo, Mailchimp, etc.) was found. If capturing visitors is relevant to this site, an email signup builds an owned audience you keep regardless of ad costs. Not every site needs one.	
LOW	Unused JavaScript is being downloaded BOTH PERFORMANCE Code that never runs on this page still costs download and parse time on every visit. Splitting or removing it speeds up load. Lighthouse measured: Est savings of 75 KiB.	
LOW	Unused CSS is being downloaded BOTH PERFORMANCE Style rules that this page never uses still block rendering while they download. Trimming them frees the paint path. Lighthouse measured: Est savings of 30 KiB.	

Accessibility findings are automated checks against Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) 2.1 and 2.2. They flag potential barriers and legal risk, not a certification or a determination of compliance with the ADA, Section 508, or EN 301 549. Automated testing catches only a subset of issues; a full conformance review needs manual and assistive-technology testing by a qualified reviewer.

FROM FINDING TO FIX

Want the fix, not just the finding?

Install Harvv and we turn each issue above into a ready-to-paste prompt for your AI coding assistant. Drop it into Cursor, Claude, or Copilot and the diagnosis becomes a concrete code change, written against this exact page.

[Get the fix prompts](#)

"How we know": **unlabeled** = a deterministic fact, identical on every load (e.g. element sizes). Most findings are this kind, so we only mark the exceptions: **median across loads** = a noisy lab metric, reported as a median. **real-user field data** = Google CrUX, actual Chrome visitors.

Structural and AI-search checks crawl up to 8 pages from your sitemap (a sample, not your full site). "Broken" means a link returned 404, 410, or 5xx, or did not respond; access-controlled pages (401, 403) are not counted.

02

Performance: phone, desktop, and real visitors

METRIC	MOBILE	DESKTOP	READ
TTFB (lab median)	20 ms	22 ms	LAB
FCP (lab median)	158 ms	174 ms	LAB
LCP (lab median)	158 ms	174 ms	GOOD
Page weight (median)	0.6 MB	0.6 MB	OK
Real LCP (p75, url)		1.2 s	GOOD
Real INP (p75)		98 ms	GOOD
Real CLS (p75)		0.00	GOOD

Google Lighthouse (lab): Performance **67** mobile / **99** desktop, SEO **100**, Accessibility **88**, Best Practices **100**.

Lab numbers are from a headless mobile browser on an unthrottled connection: treat them as a floor, not a typical experience.

03

Tiny buttons are hard to tap on mobile

22 of 70 tappable items on this page come in below 44×44 pixels, the size Apple and Google both recommend for reliable tapping on a phone. The same ones came up small on every one of the 4 test loads, so this is the page itself, not a fluke.

When customers can't tap what they expect to, they get frustrated and many of them leave. They don't file a bug. They don't try again. They just leave. A desktop dashboard can't see this because it's the difference between a thumb and a cursor.

The buttons measuring below the minimum on this scan:

- a 130x17 "SeoLoupe Logo"
- a 330x17 "Buy Once"
- a 330x17 "Upgrade"
- a 330x17 "Upgrade"
- a 150x17 "SeoLoupe Logo"
- a 105x17 "Features"
- a 105x17 "How it Works"
- a 105x17 "Pricing"

The fix is CSS-only on most sites: add padding around the icon (don't just change the icon size) so the actual tap area is at least 44×44 pixels. No redesign, no new assets.

04

Technical SEO & structured data

CHECK	RESULT
Title	SeoLoupe - SEO, GEO & AEO Audit Tool That Shows You What to Fix (63 chars)
Meta description	149 chars
H1	1 on page
Canonical	PRESENT
Structured data (JSON-LD)	1 block(s)
Open Graph	TITLE + IMAGE

05

The fix checklist

Everything to fix, priority first, each tagged with the screen it affects and a rough effort. Work top to bottom.

☐ Google Analytics tracking broken BOTH DEV AFTERNOON

☐ 3 form fields have no label BOTH VARIES

☐ **Some text is low-contrast and hard to read** BOTH VARIABLES

☐ **Add quotations so AI engines cite this page** BOTH VARIABLES

☐ **Tiny buttons are hard to tap on mobile** MOBILE CSS ONLY

☐ **Click activity may be invisible inside the Facebook in-app browser** BOTH VARIABLES

☐ **15 interactive elements have no stable, accessible identity** BOTH DEV AFTERNOON

☐ **Images have no width or height set (layout is stable for now)** BOTH CSS ONLY

☐ **No advertising pixel detected** BOTH DEV AFTERNOON

☐ **No email capture or newsletter detected** BOTH VARIABLES

☐ **Unused JavaScript is being downloaded** BOTH VARIABLES

☐ **Unused CSS is being downloaded** BOTH VARIABLES

Effort is a rough read from the outside: "CSS only" means no new assets or backend work, "1 line" means a single tag, "Dev afternoon" means a developer needs to touch tracking or scripts.

06

What this report cannot tell you

Everything above is from the outside, looking at the page on a simulated phone and desktop. The questions that actually decide revenue need real visitors. Install the Harvv pixel (one script tag, 16 KB, zero personal data, no engineering project) and within about 72 hours you'd know which buttons real customers tapped and missed, how often Google Analytics is missing visits, and exactly where mobile shoppers stalled and left. This report shows you where to look. The pixel shows you how often it happens, and to whom.

How we did this, and what it can't prove

- **4 mobile + 2 desktop loads** of one URL from headless Chrome (iPhone viewport at 390px, desktop at 1366px), August 10, 2026. Enough loads to separate real defects from random noise, not a full-site crawl.
- **Lab numbers, not real-user numbers**, except the CrUX rows, which are real Chrome users. Real devices on real networks run slower.
- **Friction is inferred, not counted.** We can prove a button is small. We can't, from the outside, count how often it causes a missed tap. That requires the pixel on a live page.

About Harvv, the source of this teardown

Harvv is a behavioral UX analytics platform (harvv.com). A lightweight JavaScript pixel captures how real visitors behave on a site (dead clicks, rage clicks, scroll depth, Core Web Vitals, JavaScript errors, and 50+ other signals) and the engine turns them into prioritized, plain-English findings. This teardown is the outside-in version of that: the same detectors run against a public page, with no pixel installed.

How to read it. Every finding here is a reproducible, automated measurement, not an opinion: element sizes, contrast ratios, load metrics, and structured-data checks that anyone can re-run against the same URL. The method is stated in full above. Automated testing catches a subset of issues, so this is a starting point, not a certification.

Full disclosure. Harvv makes the pixel that would measure the friction these findings imply, so we have a commercial interest. That is exactly why the findings are kept to things a reader can verify independently, and why nothing here is inflated: an unreproducible claim would undermine the tool it is meant to demonstrate.

Prepared by Harvv (harvv.com), a behavioral UX analytics platform. Last updated August 10, 2026.